

# 1 Exercises and Complements

In this section we give some further worked examples on priors, likelihoods and posterior distributions and ways to study them and we suggest some exercises. T

## 1.0.1 Bayesian Bootstrap

**Exercise:** The Bayesian bootstrap for the mean can be applied by simulating the probabilities in the moment equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i - \mu = 0.$$

Under the multinomial model for the data the  $\{p_i\}$  can be simulated as the ratio of unit exponential variates to their sum. This leads to

$$\mu \sim \frac{\sum g_i x_i}{\sum_i g_i}$$

where the  $\{g_i\}$  are  $n$  independent unit exponentials. In R this can be implemented as

```
g = rexp(n)
mu=sum(g*x)/sum(g).
```

Generate some data and simulate from the posterior distribution of  $\mu$  10,000 times. Study the resulting distribution graphically. Is it nearly normal? Where is it centered? What is an hpd region? The following commands show an R session implementing this exercise.

```
> n=30;nrep=10000;m=rep(0,nrep)
> x=rgamma(n,0.5,0.1); # mean = 0.5/0.1 = 5
> for(i in 1:nrep){g=rexp(n);m[i]=sum(g*x)/sum(g)}
> summary(x) # look at x
Min. 1st Qu. Median Mean 3rd Qu. Max.
5.438e-05 3.000e-01 1.256e+00 3.148e+00 4.365e+00 1.799e+01
> summary(m) # look at m
Min. 1st Qu. Median Mean 3rd Qu. Max.
1.122 2.601 3.049 3.143 3.604 7.497
> hist(m,nclass=40) # inspect the posterior
```

## 1.0.2 Bayesian Bootstrapping the Linear Model – page 144

It's easy to BB the linear model by using the “weights” facility normally supplied with packaged software. For example, in R the function `lm` – look at it by doing `?lm` – allows for weighted regression in which  $\sum_{i=1}^n w_i e_i^2$  is minimized with respect to variation in  $\beta$ . But the coefficients in such a regression are precisely what is needed for a BB realization. So to do a single BB realization the command

```
g<-rexp(n); b <- lm(y ~ x,weights=g)$coef
will do the trick.
```

**Exercise:** Find or generate some  $y, x$  data with  $x$  an  $n \times k$  matrix (without the unit vector) and form `nrep` BB realizations of the  $\beta$  posterior and compare the coefficient distribution with the normal linear model result.

### 1.0.3 Gibbs Sampler

**Exercise:** Sample from a bivariate normal distribution with moments

$$\mu = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}; \quad \Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.7 \\ 0.7 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

using the component conditionals

$$\begin{aligned} f(y|x) &= \mu_y + (\sigma_{xy}/\sigma_{xx})(x - \mu_x), \sigma_{yy}(1 - \rho_{xy}^2) \\ f(x|y) &= \mu_x + (\sigma_{xy}/\sigma_{yy})(y - \mu_y), \sigma_{xx}(1 - \rho_{yx}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Hint: Start from, say,  $x = \mu_x$ , then sample from  $y|x$  then sample  $x|y$ . Repeat. Run the chain through 2000 steps; discard the first 200; plot the output. Are the  $X(Y)$  realizations marginally normal?

**Exercise:** Sample from a normal  $n(1, 1)$  distribution truncated on the left at zero using the fact that the distribution function is

$$G(x) = \frac{\Phi(x-1) - \Phi(-1)}{1 - \Phi(-1)}, \quad x > 0$$

and that  $G(X)$  is distributed uniformly on  $(0, 1)$ .

### 1.0.4 Prediction

Suppose the data follow a normal linear model  $y = x'\beta + \varepsilon$  with  $\varepsilon \sim n(0, \tau)$ . To predict the value of  $Y$  when  $x = x_f$  a Bayesian would use the predictive distribution

$$p(y_f|x_f, x, y).$$

where  $x, y$  are the sample data. But this is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} p(y_f|x_f, x, y) &= \int p(y_f, \beta, \tau|x_f, x, y)d\beta d\tau \\ &= \int p(y_f|\beta, \tau, x_f, x, y)p(\beta, \tau|x_f, x, y)d\beta d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

This is the normal conditional distribution of  $Y_f$  averaged with respect to the posterior distribution of  $\beta, \tau$ . You can do this integral analytically but its far easier to sample from the predictive distribution. This would be done in 3 steps.

1. Sample  $\tau$  from its (gamma) posterior.

2. Sample a  $\beta$  from its normal  $(b, \tau(X'X))$  distribution given  $\tau$ . (Use `mvrnorm` in `library(MASS)`).

3. Sample  $y_f$  from its normal  $x'_f\beta, \tau$  distribution given  $\beta, \tau$ .

**Exercise:** Choose a value  $x_f$  at which to predict  $Y$ . Then use the  $\beta, \tau$  values simulated in the second exercise of section 1 to sample from the predictive distribution of  $Y$  when  $x = x_f$ .

### 1.0.5 Schennach's Method.

For a description of the method see my paper *Bayesian Quantile Regression* on the course web page (or on mine).

To experiment with it consider a posterior distribution for the mean  $\mu$  using the single moment

$$g_i = y_i - \mu$$

The Lagrange multiplier satisfies

$$\lambda = \arg \min_{\eta} \sum_{i=1}^n \exp\{\eta g_i\}$$

and the posterior density of  $\mu$  is

$$p(\mu|y) \propto \prod_{i=1}^n e^{\lambda g_i} / (\sum_{i=1}^n e^{\lambda g_i})^n$$

So the steps might be:

1. Generate some data e.g. `y<-rnorm(30,1,1)`.
2. Define a function, e.g. `fun<-function(l){g<-y-mu;return(sum(exp(l*g)))}`
3. To evaluate the posterior at some point  $\mu$  set `mu` as, say, `mu<-1.0`; then use the R function `nlm` as, say `l<-nlm(fun,0)$est` which gives you the minimizing  $\lambda$  for that value of  $\mu$ . (The 0 is the start value for the iterative minimization).
4. Calculate the log posterior as, say, `g<-y-mu; lp<- l*g-n*log(sum(exp(l*g)))`.
5. If you do this repeatedly for different values of  $\mu$  and store the results in, say, `val` you can then plot `exp(val)` to see the posterior.

Two practical points here: You have to watch for overflow in calculating `exp(val)`. And you must choose a sensible set of  $\mu$  values at which to calculate the posterior. To decide on the latter you might look at `mean(y)±3` standard deviations.

Here is a transcript of an R session that compares the Bayesian bootstrap and the Betel posteriors with each other and with the asymptotic normal form:

```
n<-40;val<-rep(0,100);y<-rnorm(n); # normal data; smallish sample
m<-seq(mean(y)-3/sqrt(n),mean(y)+3/sqrt(n),length=100); # plot the posterior over a reasonable range
> for(i in 1:100){mu<-m[i];g<-y-mu;l<-nlm(fun,0)$est;val[i]<-sum(l*g)-n*log(sum(exp(l*g)))}
#evaluate the log BETEL posterior
> d<-m[7]-m[6];s<-sum(d*exp(val));plot(m,exp(val)/s); # normalize and plot the BETEL posterior
```

```
> lines(m,dnorm(m,mean(y),1/sqrt(n)),col="red") # superimpose the asymptotic distribution
> abline(v=mean(y)) # indicate the sample mean
> nval<-rep(0,10000);for(i in 1:10000){g<-rexp(n);nval[i]<-sum(y*g)/sum(g)}
# 10000 realizations from the BB posterior
> lines(density(nval),col="blue") # superimpose a kernel smooth of these realizations.
```

Conclusion: for  $n=40$  and normal data BETEL and BB are the same and equal to their asymptotic form.